

Grant Decision Making Matrix

Scoring: 0 for a negative score; 1 for a neutral score; 2 for a positive score

| | | Score |
|--------------|--|-------|
| 1. | Does the project fit with the College mission, strategic plan, priorities, and goals? Comments: | |
| 2. | Is there adequate time to write, compile, get authorization for, and submit the proposal 5 days before the submission deadline? Comments: | |
| 3. | Does the College have a background/expertise in the proposal area? Comments: | |
| 4. | Has a source of funding been identified? Comments: | |
| 5. | Is the nature or the mission of the funding organization one that would conflict with the mission of WPCC? Comments: | |
| 6. | Does the college have a history/relationship/rapport with this funding agency? Comments: | |
| 7. | Does the project support the division's/program's objectives? Comments: | |
| 8. | Has a Project Director been identified? Comments: | |
| 9. | How familiar are the guidelines of the specific grant competition? Comments: | |
| 10. | Does the project fall within the interests of the funding agency and the competition they are sponsoring? Comments: | |
| 11. | Competative Assessment: Very Strong Competition odds are 10% or less=0; Strong Competition 10-50%; Open Competition over 50% Comments: | |
| 12. | Does WPCC have adequate staff time to respond? Comments: | |
| 13. | Does WPCC have experience providing the types of services or programs proposed? Comments: | |
| 14. | Have any personnel interested in developing/writing the proposal been identified? Comments: | |
| 15. | Have any partners outside the Collge been identified? Comments: | |
| 16. | If the project required insitutional match, has the potential source of that match been identified? Comments: | |
| 17. | Does the grant offer indirect cost recovery to the College? Comments: | |
| 18. | Does the College have adequate resources (space, personnel, etc.) to administer this grant? Comments: | |
| 19. | Does the College have the resources to sustain this project beyond the period of grant support? Comments: | |
| 20. | Does the project have future financial potentail for the college, or improve the college efficiencies? Comments: | |
| 21. | Is the project concept based on models or best practices in the field? Comments: | |
| 22. | Does this project advance scholarship or best practices in the field and will it serve as a model that may be replicated by others? Comments: | |
| TOTAL | | |

19-22 Project is ready to begin grant development

15-18 Project is well thought out and if time, the project will enter grant development

10-14 Project is almost ready to be developed, but needs further work and planning

5-9 More time needs to be invested in concept development before project can proceed

0-4 Pursuing this project is not advised